

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,059	01/14/2002	Earl J. Votolato	SPELL-009A	3514	
7590 12/01/2003			EXAMINER		
Kit M. Stetina			LINDSEY, R	LINDSEY, RODNEY M	
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
75 Enterprise			3765		
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			L				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/047,059	VOTOLATO, EARL J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
V	Rodney M. Lindsey	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
,— ,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> .						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 14 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		e e				
Application Papers			,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second	is have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or povisional application has been receive priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							



Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, "hinge" has no antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Suzuki.

Jones shows an open utensil/tongs comprising pockets at 3 joined by 6 formed from a continuous piece of flexible material 2, the pockets sized to receive the fingers and thumb of a hand (see column 1, lines 58-60) and having closed ends with opposable flattened surfaces near the ends (see Figure 2). Claim 1 although reciting features in terms of how they are made (molding) is still a product claim, and it is the patentability of the product and not the process steps (molding) which must be determined. Jones does not teach clearly pockets that receive substantially an entirety of four fingers. Suzuki teaches old to form a finger protecting apparatus/utensil such



Page 3



Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

2 00111011 (41110 011 20, 0 11, 00

Art Unit: 3765

that substantially an entirety of the fingers are received (see Figure 2). It would have been obvious to modify the utensil of Jones such that the pockets are sized to receive substantially an entirety of the fingers in the manner of Suzuki to achieve the advantage of fully covering the fingers to ensure against unwanted contact with an article to be handled. With respect to claim 2 note the use of plastic by Jones (see column 3, lines 12-15). With respect to claim 3 inherently the utensil of Jones will have a color thus meeting the limitation of color coded as claimed. With respect to claim 4 note the use of paper by Jones (see column 3, line 13). With respect to claim 5 inherently the utensil of Jones will have a color. The requirement that the color indicate a task is not seen to set forth any structure of utensil not taught by Jones or any function of the utensil not inherent in Jones. With respect to claim 14 note the symmetry of the utensil of Jones.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see Paper No. 9, filed November 6, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Jones and Suzuki.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9301.

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

rm1